

Date: Thu, 29 Jul 93 12:45:52 PDT
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V93 #271
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Thu, 29 Jul 93 Volume 93 : Issue 271

Today's Topics:

 1947 No Code survey (was Re: References for Code vs. (4 msgs)
 ARRL and it's members (2 msgs)
 ARRL Internet connection
 Code/NoCode
 Give a VE \$5.60, walk
Lead the Way! (was Re: 1947 No Code survey (was Re: References f (2 msgs)
 U.S. upgrades /AG /AA etc?

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>

Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>

Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Tue, 27 Jul 93 02:21:38 CDT
From: usc!elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!swrinde!menudo.uh.edu!jpunix!unkaphaed!amanda!
robert@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: 1947 No Code survey (was Re: References for Code vs.
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

hanko@mentorg.com (Hank Oredson) writes:

> In article <1993Jul26.003552.3847@mnemosyne.cs.du.edu>, rcanders@nyx.cs.du.ed
> |> In article <1993Jul25.155334.14707@anomaly.sbs.com> kd1hz@anomaly.sbs.com
> |> >robert@amanda.jpunix.com (robert) writes:
> |> >
> |> >>Isn't it strange how The League has ignored this fact. Oh well, so much
> |> >>for representation. By the way, virtually all Codeless Techs, here in
> |> >>Houston at least, have headed straight for Two Meters.
> |> >

> |> >Not to actually defend the League (gosh, I wouldn't want people to get th
> |> >wrong idea...) but the League's original proposal in 1989 to the FCC for
> |> >codeless license did not grant 2mtr privs to codeless techs, other than
> |> >the "data" portion for packet radio use.
> |> >
> |> >Personally, I would have much rather saw the Codeless Tech license grante
> |> >the same privs above 30mhz that Novices are -- with perhaps an additional
> |> >band or two to "save that bandwidth".
> |> >
> |> >M
> |>
> |> Attn. Rev. turkey there is no license called CodelessTech!!!
> |>
> |> Why in the hell should I learn 13 wpm Moris to work the satellites?
> |>
> |> Rev Rod Universal Life Church (I payed \$1 in 1970 for the Rev. part)
> |> My rev is just as good as your rev but I an not such a big JERK.
> |>
>
> Ho Ho Ha Ha ... giggle giggle ...
>
> |>
> |> --
> |> Rod Anderson NONZO | "I do not think the United States government
> |> Boulder, CO | is responsible for the fact that a bunch of
> |> rcanders@nyx.cs.du.edu | fanatics decided to kill themselves"
> |> satellite NONZO on ao-16 | Slick Willie the Compassionate
>
> --
>
> Hank Oredson @ Mentor Graphics
> Internet : hank_oredson@mentorg.com
> Amateur Radio: WORLI@WORLI.OR.USA.NA

Ins't it great to be a member of a hobby, where all the members join
together and rally toward a common goal? <grin>

--Robert

Date: Mon, 26 Jul 93 21:10:05 GMT
From: sdd.hp.com!math.ohio-state.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!torn!nott!cunews!
revcan!balsam!cowan@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: 1947 No Code survey (was Re: References for Code vs.
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

swamik@orca.ele.uri.edu (Swami Kumaresan) writes:

> >Perhaps if the old-farts-with-attitude spent some of their time doing
> >constructive things like HELPING PEOPLE LEARN CODE, rather than running
> >people down for not having the precious code, more people might learn it. 0
> >the other hand, when they sit back and bitch about no-coders, they sound
> >just like the brain-dead goons on CB going on about how tough they are.
>
> ___ __._____ _ .._ .___.

"Oh, shut up" - now there's an interesting and informative comment.

>
> What is this? rec.radio.amateur.flame.flame.flame!
> I sure hope no non- or prospective hams are reading all this crap!
>
> KB1AMB/AA
>

I hope they are. They might as well learn about some of the contemptible individuals in the hobby who are so egotistical that they would look down on a new ham because the newbie hasn't learned code.

I suspect that the people involved in such snobbery really have no other way to demonstrate any kind of superiority over anyone. Being complete failures in other walks of life, their status as ham radio masters gives them the self-actualization they need. Rather than help others, it becomes more important to these people to establish dominance and prove their self-evident greatness.

--
Darin Cowan - cowan@balsam.pinetree.org | I just try to make people's
VE3 OIJ | lives a little more surreal

Date: Mon, 26 Jul 93 21:03:03 GMT
From: sdd.hp.com!math.ohio-state.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!torn!nott!cunews!
revcan!balsam!cowan@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: 1947 No Code survey (was Re: References for Code vs.
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

system@garlic.sbs.com (Tony Pelliccio) writes:

> Memorables from the Cranston, RI 146.700- repeater:
>
> "You sicken me."
> "Oh yeah, well you're an asshole."

> "Kilo Delta One Hotel _____ listening on 10 70"
> "N1LVU N1LVU this is N1KRM"

How about the VE3?? station that, after ragchewing on a repeater with another VE3?? station decided to AUTOPATCH to the person. Then the former person, after completing the call and going off the air with a "VE3?? clear", dumps on the next station that used the repeater because he (the VE3??) wasn't done yet.

> Then lets see, there're the autopatches to arrange visitation rights, to
> see who wants what for dinner. Who's talking about what check came in,
> or what Sgt. called... it's really quite funny. And Mike isn't kidding
> about the "I gotta back on outta here", we heard it yesterday afternoon.

If the patch isn't being used for anything else, it might as well be used by someone for something that they deem useful. I suppose you'd rather have all the equipment just sit around and consume power until someone asks for your approval on the subject matter of their autopatch?

>
> Then there's the bottom feeding scum who supposedly fell down the stairs
> of his apartment building and is suing the landlord and bragging about
> it on the air how he's gonna buy a new FT-1000D, and all these goodies
> with the settlement money. Jeez..
>

Being an asshole isn't limited to no-codes.

> But the best one, by far, is the courtesy tone. There are STILL people
> out there who don't know what the tone is for. Interesting.....

And I'm sure you have been very polite in educating the new hams in the meaning of the tone?

--
Darin Cowan - cowan@balsam.pinetree.org | I just try to make people's
VE3 OIJ | lives a little more surreal

Date: Sun, 25 Jul 1993 13:13:59 EST
From: anomaly.sbs.com!kd1nr!system@uunet.uu.net
Subject: 1947 No Code survey (was Re: References for Code vs.
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

kd1hz@anomaly.sbs.com (Rev. Michael P. Deignan) writes:

> Unfortunately, in this area, most of the codeless techs I have met are

> true CB transplants. Its even gotten to the point where the local Ham
 > club now has "coffee breaks" every other month. Every day listening to
 > 2 meters yields a new chuckler: "You have a super-fine-one, ya hear?"
 > "I'm going to stop by your 20, after I stop to get some Motion-Lotion
 > Potion." "Want me to pick up some Mocha-Java on the way over?"
 >
 > Then, I could tell you the story of the no-clue who came on simplex one
 > evening when I was talking with a few friends and told me how I "should
 > be talking on a repeater because someone might be able to learn something
 > from the conversation". Obviously, he forgot about how repeaters should
 > only be used if two stations can't communicate simplex, so we taught him.

Memorables from the Cranston, RI 146.700- repeater:

"You sicken me."
 "Oh yeah, well you're an asshole."
 "Kilo Delta One Hotel _____ listening on 10 70"
 "N1LVU N1LVU this is N1KRM"

Then lets see, there're the autopatches to arrange visitation rights, to
 see who wants what for dinner. Who's talking about what check came in,
 or what Sgt. called... it's really quite funny. And Mike isn't kidding
 about the "I gotta back on outta here", we heard it yesterday afternoon.

Then there's the bottom feeding scum who supposedly fell down the stairs
 of his apartment building and is sueing the landlord and bragging about
 it on the air how he's gonna buy a new FT-1000D, and all these goodies
 with the settlement money. Jeez..

But the best one, by far, is the courtesy tone. There are STILL people
 out there who don't know what the tone is for. Interesting.....

Tony

```

-----
      o      o  Tony Pelliccio, KD1NR, Control Op 441.750+, ARRL VE
      \      /  system @ garlic.sbs.com                      Soon W5YI VE
      \____/
    -----(oo)  Cow humor. Sort of like the Far Side.
  /|  _____ \
 / | {MTV} ||      MooTV - Rockin' Bumpin' and Funkin' into the 90's
* || {____} ||
  ||-----||      (And people thought my last .sig was long, ha!)
  ^^      ^^
-----

```

Date: Wed, 28 Jul 1993 19:06:33 GMT

From: news.cerf.net!kaiwan.com!wetware!iggy.GW.Vitalink.COM!ns!msc.edu!apctrc!
zslf08@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: ARRL and it's members
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <V9ND8B1w165w@amanda.jpunix.com>, robert@amanda.jpunix.com (robert) writes:

> I think your first mistake was to write to Luck Hurder in the first
> place. In all the times I've tried to reach him, I have been out of luck
> (with no pun intended).

I've asked Luck for information on several occasions, and received prompt, courteous, helpful replies. And I've reason to believe that I'm not alone in this experience. If you've had a problem, why automatically assume that the fault lies with the other person? Could be **your** problem.

Good Luck (pun intended),

Steve WA5RPF

Date: Tue, 27 Jul 93 02:32:27 CDT
From: usc!cs.utexas.edu!swrinde!menudo.uh.edu!jpunix!unkaphaed!amanda!
robert@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: ARRL and it's members
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

ehare@arrl.org (Ed Hare - KA1CV) writes:

> Speaking of laying cards on the table, I have seen this sentiment posted
> by a few in the current ARRL is good/bad thread, but the specifics
> have been missing. I would like to ask the following:
>
> Do you feel that there is nothing that the ARRL has done in the past few
> years that represents you?
>
> Or, do you feel that our actions on one or more specific issues have
> been against what you feel to be your best interests?
>
> I am sincere -- I would like to see the grievances aired. I think I can
> point out quite a few things that we have done that have helped most
> hams, amateurs, so I suspect that most anti-ARRL sentiment is probably
> based on the second issue.

Fair enough, Ed. Most of the discussion on this newgroup has centered around the Codeless Technician license. First of all, the ARRL **is** the representative organization by and for the amateur radio community in

the United States. Several years ago, the ARRL (after receiving support from our fraternity, including mine) put forth a proposal to the FCC that a codeless entry-level license be created. This license would convey all amateur privileges 222 MHz (then 220) and above.

My question for you is, why didn't this proposal pass, and why do we now have something completely different than what we approved?

--Robert

Date: 28 Jul 1993 14:23:41 -0600
From: orca.es.com!cnn.sim.es.com!moons.sim.es.com!not-for-mail@uunet.uu.net
Subject: ARRL Internet connection
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

I agree that the ARRL should be here, as they are promoting the hobby, adding to discussions, fueling flames, dousing flames, etc....

This discussion should end soon.

--
Douglas L. Datwyler, WR70
Evans & Sutherland Computer Corp.
preferred e-mail: datwyler@moons.sim.es.com

Date: 27 Jul 1993 09:48:07 +1000
From: munnari.oz.au!mel.dit.csiro.au!its.csiro.au!dmssyd.syd.dms.CSIRO.AU!metro!sequoia!ultima!kralizec.zeta.org.au!kralizec.zeta.org.au!not-for-mail@uunet.uu.net
Subject: Code/NoCode
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In <CAKz25.751@srgenprp.sr.hp.com> alanb@sr.hp.com (Alan Bloom) writes:

>When the Novice license was introduced in the 50's it was for a 1-year
>term and NON-RENEWABLE. It was considered a temporary beginners license.
>After a year, you upgraded or lost your ham license forever.

Here in AUstralia the argument for and against no-code amateur licenses rages like it seems to in the US. With the new Radiocommunications Act 1992 that has recently become law here, there is supposed to have been a new class of novice license created which required no morse code experience.

As one who is vehemently against 'forcing' people into qualifying in morse to gain a full call license, I support such moves. In fact, if it were not for the morse requirements, I would study up and go for the full-call (less morse) license ASAP!

At the moment I have no amateur licesne, and like many others, find morse both intimidating and unnecessary. Why, with so much development in packet and other forms of radio comms, should we be forced to learn morse?

--

Craig Dewick (craig@kralizec.zeta.org.au).

May you all survive Kralizec, for it will bring about the rebirth of mankind as a self-perpetuating organism. - Kralizec, the Typhoon Struggle.

Date: Mon, 26 Jul 93 16:46:00 -0400
From: sdd.hp.com!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!darwin.sura.net!
uvaarpa!pplace!pat.wilson@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Give a VE \$5.60, walk
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

-> BTW, while I don't actively advertise it, I'm:

->

-> Bishop Anthony S. Pelliccio (Rev. Deignan is in my diocese)

->

-> Tony

AND.....

Pat

NØRDQ

Date: Tue, 27 Jul 93 02:10:43 CDT
From: usc!cs.utexas.edu!swrinde!menudo.uh.edu!jpunix!unkaphaed!amanda!
robert@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Lead the Way! (was Re: 1947 No Code survey (was Re: References f
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

dana@lando.la.locus.com (Dana H. Myers) writes:

>

> Thank you, Paul. New hams will spend their money on the radio which
> will get them the most people to talk to. So happens that 2m is where the
> party is, that is where the new people will go, too.
I hate to be a "party pooper" Dana, but I believe there are more people
to talk to on, say 20 or 15 meters, than two meters. I'm delighted to
hear that all the new hams will go there, though.

> * This Extra supports the abolition of the 13 and 20 WPM tests *
I believe that's obvious. Would you support beefed-up written exams,
with perhaps the removal of the published question pool?

--Robert

Date: Mon, 26 Jul 93 20:57:06 GMT
From: sdd.hp.com!math.ohio-state.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!torn!nott!cunews!
revcan!balsam!cowan@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Lead the Way! (was Re: 1947 No Code survey (was Re: References f
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

robert@amanda.jpunix.com (robert) writes:

>
> I have elected not to operate on any Amateur bands above 25 MHz.
> I DO listen to Two Meters on occasion, which in my own humble opinion
> has evolved to a VHF-FM version of Citizens Band. Oh well...
>

Yeah, I know what you mean... The two meter crowd just seems to be interested
in communication and having fun, instead of being interested in the entire
point of amateur radio - learning to be as anal retentive as possible.

Oh well, maybe one day those upstarts will grow up and become boring old farts
who'd rather criticize others than have a good time. Amateur radio will
sure improve when that happens. I can't wait.

--
Darin Cowan - cowan@balsam.pinetree.org | I just try to make people's
VE3 OIJ | lives a little more surreal

Date: Sun, 25 Jul 1993 13:18:23 EST
From: anomaly.sbs.com!kd1nr!system@uunet.uu.net
Subject: U.S. upgrades /AG /AA etc?

To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

hardie@herald.usask.ca (Peter Hardie) writes:

>
> Could someone send me a list of all the U.S. license upgrade indications that
> are used and what they mean?
> Thanks.
> Pete hardie@herald.usask.ca VE5VA

/KT Tech upgrade
/AG General upgrade
/AA Advanced upgrade
/AE Extra upgrade

```
-----  
      o      o Tony Pelliccio, KD1NR, Control Op 441.750+, ARRL VE  
        \    / system @ garlic.sbs.com                Soon W5YI VE  
         \__/  
        (oo) Cow humor. Sort of like the Far Side.  
    /|  ____ \/  
  / | {MTV}|| MoovTV - Rockin' Bumpin' and Funkin' into the 90's  
* || {____}||  
  ||-----|| (And people thought my last .sig was long, ha!)  
  ^^        ^^  
-----
```

Date: Sun, 25 Jul 1993 12:58:05 EST
From: anomaly.sbs.com!kd1nr!system@uunet.uu.net
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <1993Jul22.192048.28231@TorreyPinesCA.ncr.com>,
<1993Jul24.042735.5428@anomaly.sbs.com>, <CANwn8.Dpz@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Subject : Re: Give a VE \$5.60, walk

jherman@uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu (Jeff Herman) writes:

> In article <1993Jul24.042735.5428@anomaly.sbs.com> kd1hz@anomaly.sbs.com (Rev
> . Michael P. Deignan) writes:
>>
>>Bullshit.
> ^^^^^^^^^
> I've never heard a Reverend say that word before.....

You must lead a sheltered life. I've also heard him use that wonderful
four letter monosyllable that begins with F too.....

BTW, while I don't actively advertise it, I'm:

Bishop Anthony S. Pelliccio (Rev. Deignan is in my diocese)

Tony

```
-----
      o      o  Tony Pelliccio, KD1NR, Control Op 441.750+, ARRL VE
      \      /  system @ garlic.sbs.com                      Soon W5YI VE
      \____/
      (oo)      Cow humor. Sort of like the Far Side.
  /|  _____ \
 / | {MTV} ||      MoooTV - Rockin' Bumpin' and Funkin' into the 90's
* || { } ||
  ||-----||      (And people thought my last .sig was long, ha!)
  ^^      ^^
-----
```

Date: 27 Jul 93 22:59:28 GMT

From: cis.ohio-state.edu!math.ohio-state.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!
sol.ctr.columbia.edu!news.kei.com!ssd.intel.com!chnews!ornews.intel.com!
ornews.intel.com!not-for-mail@RUTGERS.EDU
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <1993Jul26.210916.13205@porthos.cc.bellcore.com>,
<231orc\$s7m@ornews.intel.com>, <3477@tekgen.bv.tek.com>.edu
Subject : Re: Wash Cnty, OR Antenna Ordinance - HELP !!

An article appeared in Today's Oregonian newspaper about the public hearing on the proposed Washington County Antenna Ordinance to be held tonight, Tuesday, at 6:30pm in the Public Services Building, 155 N. First Ave., Hillsboro, Oregon.

The article describes complaints of conflict of interest about the amateur radio operator who is also a planner working on an ordinance affecting heights and numbers of towers. One resident has written a letter asking the director of the Dept. of Land Use and Transportation to table the ordinance and call in the county counsel to investigate the planner's actions. The article names the complaining resident as well as others. The department's principle planner denied the acusations of bias and remarked that the amateur radio licensed planner's understanding of communications was helpful in developing ordinances regulating commercial as well as amateur devices. The planner said he has amateur equipment but no time to put up antennas.

- end paraphrasing, begin proselytizing-

It should be a good fight tonight. I wish I was going. From what I gather, most of the amateurs in the area are happy to accept the watered-down version of the ordinance which allows up to 60' towers without permit. Especially in contrast to the original proposal which required permits and fees for even a horizontal wire, let alone the thousands it would cost to erect any kind of tower. This seems like a Mutt-and-Jeff tactic to me. There are some amateurs who are not going to accept any ordinances and are threatening lawyers and PRB-1 actions. And there are some very few (3?) but very noisy anti-antenna residents who don't like the watering-down of the ordinance and are also threatening as well as accusing.

--

WA7LDV zardo@ornews.intel.com

 Date: 28 Jul 93 14:28:15 CDT
 From: equalizer!timbuk.cray.com!walter.cray.com!rps@network.ucsd.edu
 To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <1993Jul27.183222.24223@Csl.Stanford.EDU>,
 <1993Jul28.003259.18203@mnemosyne.cs.du.edu>,
 <1993Jul28.165830.477@Csl.Stanford.EDU>
 Subject : nocode started when?

I was just wondering when the nocode technician class licensing started? Month ??, 198? (or 199?)

```

1\\//\\//1
1      1
1      1 1
1 (.) (.) 1      / Russ Starksen \
C      _ )l__    /  NOMRR          \
1 ,___1  l##l---<  "Minnesota      / rps@cray.com
1 /      l**l    \  Radio Russ"    /
/---\      l__l    \  ___... ___ /  NOMRR @ KB0GF.MN.USA.NOAM
/      \

```

 Date: Sun, 25 Jul 1993 13:04:11 EST
 From: anomaly.sbs.com!kd1nr!system@uunet.uu.net
 To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <22mh69INNn2s@west.West.Sun.COM>,
 <1993Jul23.122412.19994@rsg1.er.usgs.gov>, <22ovicINNju2@topaz.bds.com>
 Subject : Re: ARRL and it's members

ron@topaz.bds.com (Ron Natalie) writes:

> First off, if you're not a league member, you got no bitching rights
> as to the ARRL's activities.

That's not necessarily true. If you're a licensed amateur radio operator then the ARRL is helping to set policies that will ultimately affect you.

> People have been bitching about perceived subversion by the ARRL board
> recently and I believe a lot of it's unfounded. The major whine I keep
> hearing is that the ARRL didn't poll it's membership on this and it didn't
> poll it's membership on that. Well, frankly, their last attempt at a poll
> (regarding automatic HF ops) was a miserable failure. They conducted their
> poll, and many people didn't like the results.

Could it be the way the poll was conducted?

> The ARRL is a representative organization. Bitch and moan about it all you
> want, it couldn't operate except as it does. It is not any different than
> any other business or non-profit organization, with the possible exception
> that the bulk of the organization is not paid.
>
> How many of you know who your division director is? Did you read the flyer
> when he was running for office and vote for or against him? I did. Certainl
> y
> top of the list of position items a couple of years before we got no-code
> was whether they supported no-code or not. This was what I based my vote
> on. With directors being elected in half the divisions right now, you've
> got another chance.

I know who the division director is. But I'll tell you, things hit the fan much more quickly here on Usenet. :)

> Did you communicate with your section leader or director after he was elected
> ?
> The directors addresses (as pointed out already) are in QST every month. I
> regularly bump into our division director at the area hamfests. I find littl
> e
> sympathy for people who claim that these guys are out of touch, who don't bot
> her
> to put forth even putting their ideas to the directors. These guys signed up
> for a lot of uncompensated abuse, they've all got day jobs too, you know.

Section Manager? Are you serious? The guy who blows off an engagement to speak at a club meeting, the guy who never answers his mail, the same guy who fails to submit section news? Sorry, but come time for him to be

re-elected I'd like to see his butt get tossed.

Tony

```
-----
      o      o Tony Pelliccio, KD1NR, Control Op 441.750+, ARRL VE
      \    / system @ garlic.sbs.com                Soon W5YI VE
      \__/_/
      (oo) Cow humor. Sort of like the Far Side.
  /|  ___ \_/
  / | {MTV}|| MooTV - Rockin' Bumpin' and Funkin' into the 90's
*  ||{___}||
  ||-----|| (And people thought my last .sig was long, ha!)
  ^^      ^^
-----
```

End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #271
